

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

Meeting #5 of 2022 Thursday Apr 7 2022 Room 2024

PPC MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

Arek Joseph (Chairman)
Andrew Hulse
Laura Panades-Estruch
Dr Sidney Ebanks
Neyka Webster
Shiann Palmer (Secretary
Taraq Bashir (Non Member)
Robert Tatum (Non Member)

PPC MEMBERS EXTENDING APPOLOGIES

Tristan Hydes Elke Rose Rhonda Kelly

The meeting was called to order at 2:05 pm on April 7 2022. The PPC decisions for each item on the agenda is recorded below:

1. PPC-2022-MOE-013-DA Request by MOE for furniture NJGHS

The PPC reviewed the submission and voted to reject the request.

A brief discussion was held by The PPC, Wayne Riley and Clive Baker, concerning the procurement process for this project, the budget, the bases for a direct award and the estimate.

The PPC stated that they could not recommend this project because it failed to satisfy the requirements of a Direct Award.

2. <u>PPC-2021-WAC-047-RFP Request by the Water Authority for additional works Red Gate Road.</u>

The PPC reviewed the submission and voted to approve the request.

A brief discussion was held by The PPC, Tom Van Zanten and Christopher Garbutt, concerning the osmosis process, the technical and financial evaluations presented, the lack of resources within the unit, and the fact that there were two cost incurred, one for the plant and one for the building.

3. PPC-2022-MOE-005-RFQ Request by MOE for Endpoint protection.

The PPC reviewed the submission and voted **to approve** the request.

A brief discussion was held by The PPC, Lance Barnes, and Nicholas McLean concerning the renewal period of the contract, who would be covered by this contract, the discount being offered, the amount of licenses that were needed currently, the scoring, and the local impact statement.

The PPC encouraged the panel to liaise with other departments so that a framework agreement could be put in place, and other departments would be able to join without repeating the process.

4. <u>PPC-2022-UCCI-017-DA Request by UCCI to replace Student Information</u> System.

The PPC reviewed the submission and voted to reject the request.

A brief discussion was held by The PPC, Dr Beverly Shuford, and Simon Ashdown concerning the bases for a direct award, the need for a competitive tender, project management fees, and the expiration date of their current system.

The PPC noted that there were three shortlisted firms in the technical assessment that were all able to meet the requirements to varying degrees and at widely varied cost. As such, the requirements of the direct award provisions were not met.

5. <u>PPC-2022-BCL-018-DA Request by Border Control for an Automated Vaccination System.</u>

The PPC reviewed the submission and voted **to approve** the request.

A brief discussion was held by The PPC, Lance Mason, and Vinton Chinsee concerning the submission.

The BC Panel stated that the original procurement was done under the emergency provision, and due to regulations changing suddenly during Covid19, it does not give them sufficient time to do a proper procurement. The cost is based on volume of visitors, and these funds will only be used if necessary.

6. PPC-2022-SCR-006-RFP Request by SRC for Green Iguana Culling

The PPC reviewed the submission and voted **to approve** the request.

A brief discussion was held by The PPC and Timothy Austin concerning the contract term, the different teams/services being offered, and the pricing.

Termination of meeting 5.30 PM

Public Procurement Committee

Chairman

		*