



PUBLIC PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE
Meeting # 10 of 2025
Thursday
August 7 2025

PPC Members in Attendance

Dr. Sidney Ebanks
Andrew Hulse (Member)
Kerry Christian (Member)
Laura Panades Estruch (Member)
Elkie Rose (Member)
Rhonda Kelly (Member)
Christina Smith (Non-member)
Shameka Clarke (Non-member)
Shiann Palmer (Secretary)

PPC Members not in Attendance

Arek Joseph
Neyka Webster

Minutes of The Public Procurement Committee held August 7 2025, at 12.30 pm, in Conference Room #1038, Government Administration Building, 133 Elgin Avenue, George Town, Grand Cayman.

1. **Apologies for absence.**
Mr. Arek Joseph and Neyka Webster.
2. **Minutes of the last meeting.**
3. **Matters arising.**
4. **PPC Submissions Reviewed.**

PPC-2025-DVES-024-RFP Vehicle fleet for the Agriculture Department.

The PPC reviewed this application and voted to **approve** this request on August 7 2025.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC, Stephen Quinland, Destinie Bush and Franklin Hines, concerning the preferred vendor, outfitting of the vehicles, and value for money.

The DVES Panel stated that manufacturers continue to struggle to supply vehicles on island since COVID19, but in the future they plan to ensure a more competitive process by inviting and working more closely with other suppliers. The Panel also reassured the Public Procurement Committee that they believe they were receiving good value for money.

PPC-2025-WAC-015-RFP – Cayman Brac & Little Cayman Exploratory Drilling Project.

The PPC reviewed this application and voted to **approve** this request on August 7 2025.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC and Tom Van Zanten, concerning the geology of future projects, and the new water facilities for Cayman Brac and Little Cayman.

Mr. Van Zanten stated that he was familiar with the vendor, they had the required specialized equipment to do the job, the funds to cover cost had been allocated, and he also stated that the project was good value for money.

PPC-2024-CTC-089-RFP – Janitorial Services for the Turtle Centre.

The PPC reviewed this submission and voted **to defer** the request on August 7 2025.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC, James Rawcliffe, James Jackson and Camille Stewart, concerning the incumbent, the contract cost/terms, and the expiration of the contract,

The Public Procurement Committee did not recommend the project because the rated criteria and the terms of the contract needed to be verified prior to approval.

PPC-2025-JUD-031-RFP – Security System Upgrade at the Courts.

The PPC reviewed this submission and voted to defer this request on August 7 2025 for further information. The Committee was satisfied with the information received on September 1 2025, and voted to approve the submission.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC and Soames Pescud concerning the release of documents, the contract cost and period, the BAFO, and the scoring of vendors.

Mr. Soames stated that the contract period was for one year, and that phase 2 would commence next year, he had not performed a BAFO, but believed that the project was good value for money.

The Public Procurement Committee instructed Mr. Soames to conduct a BAFO exercise on all future projects.

PPC-2025-OCP-051-DA OCP Fit out of Flow Building (Furniture)

The PPC reviewed this submission and voted **not to approve** this request on August 7 2025, because the request did not fulfil the required criteria in accordance with The Procurement Regulations.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC, Shree-Ann Saunders-Wynter and Wayne Bobb-Semple, concerning the background of the project, contract cost, the lease agreement, the area to be occupied, and the transition period.

The OCP Panel stated that they would be occupying the first and the third floor of the Flow Building, while Flow would still be occupying the first floor. Their current building was not fit for purpose and this would be a temporary measure until the new police station was completed. The Public Procurement Committee expressed their concerns with the Direct Award Application stating that there were other furniture providers so it should had been a competitive tender process. The OCP Panel explained that they opted for a Direct Award because the furniture would be cohesive to the existing furniture, and that they believed that the Government had a policy in place that allowed them to use the preferred vendor.

The Public Procurement Committee recommended that in future the OCP engage in a more competitive tender process for all their project.

PPC-2025-OCP-052-DA OCP Fit out of Flow Building (Interior)

The PPC reviewed this submission and voted **not to approve** this request on August 7 2025, because the request did not fulfil the required criteria in accordance with The Procurement Regulations.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC, Shree-Ann Saunders-Wynter and Wayne Bobb-Semple, concerning the background of the project, the preferred vendor, and the scope of works.

The Public Procurement Committee recommended that in future the OCP engage in a more competitive tender process for all their projects.

PPC-2025-OCP-053-DA OCP Fit out of Flow Building (Security)

The PPC reviewed this submission and voted to **approve** this request on August 7 2025.

A brief discussion was held between The PPC, Shree-Ann Saunders-Wynter and Wayne Bobb-Semple, concerning the background of the project, the contract cost, scope of works, and the preferred vendor.

The Public Procurement Committee recommended that the OCP engage in a more competitive tender process, and also conduct a BAFO exercise, for future applications.

5. **Correspondence**
6. **Any other Business/Notes.**
7. **Date and Time of the next meeting.**

The next PPC Meeting will be held on September 4 2025 at 12.30 PM, Conference Room # 1038 1st Floor, Government Administration Building.

The meeting closed at 4.30. Pm.

For



Dr. Sidney Ebanks
Acting Chairman Public Procurement Committee

